REDUCTION OF β -ALKYLTHIO α , β -UNSATURATED KETONES [R¹COCH=C(R²)SR³] WITH Lialh₄ OR Nabh₄: CONVERSION TO α , β -UNSATURATED KETONES (R¹CH=CHCOR²)

Takehiko NISHIO and Yoshimori OMOTE

Department of Chemistry, University of Tsukuba,

Sakura-mura, Niihari-gun, Ibaraki 300-31

Reduction of β -alkylthio α,β -unsaturated ketones [R¹COCH=C(R²)SR³] with lithium aluminium hydride (LiAlH₄) or sodium borohydride (NaBH₄) gave α,β -unsaturated ketones (R¹CH=CHCOR²) in moderate yields. In some cases, the saturated γ -hydroxy sulfide is obtained in high yield.

β-Heteroatom substituted α,β-unsaturated ketones (β-amino, β-alkoxy and β-alkylthio α,β-unsaturated ketones) are common synthetic intermediates being useful not only as protecting groups but also as sources of other functional groups and substituents. Recent interest concerning the reduction of β-amino α,β-unsaturated ketones with LiAlH₄²⁾ or with NaBH₄-FeCl₃³⁾ and β-alkoxy α,β-unsaturated ketones with LiAlH₄⁴⁾ has led us to study the chemistry of β-alkylthio α,β-unsaturated ketones (1).

When 3-ethylthio-1-phenyl-2-buten-1-one ($\underline{1a}$) was reduced with LiAlH $_4$ in dry ether and then the reaction products were decomposed under acidic conditions, 4-phenyl-3-buten-2-one ($\underline{2a}$) was obtained. Reduction of $\underline{1a}$ with NaBH $_4$ in MeOH also gave $\underline{2a}$ in moderate yield. In Table 1, the yield of $\underline{2a}$ under various conditions is summarized.

Table 1. Reduction of <u>la</u> [Ph-C-CH=C(Me)SEt] with LiAlH₄ or NaBH₄ under various conditions

Reducing reagent	Solvent	Conditions ^{a)}	Mol. ratio Red./ <u>la</u>	Yield of Prod	ducts (%) ^{b)} 1: Recovered
LiAlH ₄	Et ₂ O	r.t. 16 h	1/2	27.0	65.5
LiAlH ₄	Et ₂ O	r.t. 2 h reflux 1 h	1/2	21.5	71.5
LiAlH ₄	Et ₂ O	r.t. 25 h	1	24.0-28.0	40.0-63.0
LiAlH ₄	Et ₂ O	r.t. 2 h reflux 0.5 h	1	49.5	45.5
LiAlH ₄	Et ₂ O	reflux 1 h	1	91.0	~ 0
LiAlH ₄	Et ₂ O	r.t. 5 h	2	72.5-85.0	1.0-13.0
LiAlH ₄	Et ₂ O	r.t. 2 h reflux 0.5 h	2	84.0-94.5	1.0-5.5
LiAlH ₄	Et ₂ O	r.t. 2 h reflux 0.5 h	4	22.5-23.5	~0-1.0
NaBH ₄	МеОН	r.t. 2 h	1	46.0-49.0	30.5-33.5
NaBH ₄	MeOH	r.t. 112 h	1	47.5	10.5
NaBH ₄	МеОН	r.t. 2 h	2	46.0	33.5

a) <u>la</u> was stirred with reducing reagent and then the reaction mixture was treated with conc. hydrochloric acid. The mixture was diluted with water and extracted with ether or dichloromethane. b) Yields determined by G.L.C..

Similarly, other β -alkylthio α,β -unsaturated ketones $(\underline{1b},\underline{d-e},\underline{i-k})$ were reduced with LiAlH₄ and converted in moderate yields into α,β -unsaturated ketones $(\underline{2b},\underline{d-e},\underline{i-k})$. Meanwhile, β -alkylthio α,β -unsaturated ketones $(\underline{1c},\underline{f-h})$ were reduced with LiAlH₄ to give the saturated γ -hydroxy sulfides $(\underline{4c},\underline{f-h})$ in high yields. The structure of γ -hydroxy sulfides $(\underline{4c},\underline{f-h})$ was confirmed with their spectrum data and elemental analysis. On reduction with NaBH₄ and acid treatment, β -alkylthio α,β -unsaturated ketones $(\underline{1a},\underline{c-d},\underline{f-g},\underline{i-k})$ gave the corresponding α,β -unsaturated ketones $(\underline{2a},\underline{c-d},\underline{f-g},\underline{i-k})$ in moderate yields (Table 2). NaBH₄ is more superior than LiAlH₄ for the selectivity for carbonyl group on the reduction. However, the reactivity of NaBH₄ is less than that of LiAlH₄. The α,β -unsaturated ketone $(\underline{2})$ is generated by hydrolysis of hemi-thioacetal formed by allylic rearrangement of β -alkylthio

Table 2. The Yields of Reduction Products (2-4)

R ¹ -	$R^{1} - C - CH = C(R^{2}) SR^{3}$			Method A ^{a)} : LiAlH ₄		R ¹ CH=CHCOR ²	+ R ³ SH	+ R^{1} CHCH ₂ CH(R^{2})SR ³ + 1	
	<u>1</u>		Method	B ^{b)} : NaBH ₄	<u>2</u>	3		<u>4</u>	
	R ¹	R ²	R ³	Method	Mol. ratio Red./ 1	<u>2</u>	Yield $\frac{3}{2}$	(왕) ^{C)} <u>4</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>lb</u>	Ph	Me	Pr ⁿ	A	2	68.0-78.5	đ	_	~0
<u>lc</u>	Ph	Ph	Et	Α	1/2	trace	đ	√ 0	quant.
<u>lc</u>	Ph	Ph	Et	Α	2	trace	đ	91.5	∿ 0
<u>lc</u>	Ph	Ph	Et	В	2	32.5	đ	10.5	33.5
<u>ld</u>	Ph	Me	Ph	A	2	40.0	39.0	-	√ 0
<u>ld</u>	Ph	Me	Ph	В	2	38.5	9.0	-	50.5
<u>le</u>	Ph	Н	Et	A	2	64.5-71.0	đ	-	~0
<u>lf</u>	Ph	Н	Ph	A	2	3.5	7.0	79.5	√ 0
<u>lf</u>	Ph	Н	Ph	В	2	42.0-49.0	26.0-30.5	-	15.0-20.0
<u>lg</u>	Me	Ph	Et	A	2	-	-	quant.	√ 0
<u>lg</u>	Me	Ph	Et	A	1/2		-	13.5	60.0
<u>lg</u>	Me	Ph	Et	В	1	15.5-18.5	đ	-	69.5-85.5
<u>lh</u>	Me	Ph	Ph	A	2	-	-	quant.	√ 0
<u>1h</u>	Me	Ph	Ph	В	2	trace	-	-	√ 0
<u>li</u>	Me	Me	$Bu^{\mathbf{n}}$	A	2	27.5	đ	-	√ 0
<u>li</u>	Me	Me	Bun	В	2	14.5	đ	-	10.0
<u>lj</u>	Me	Me	Ph	A	2	62.5	6.5	-	7.0
<u>lj</u>	Me	Me	Ph	В	2	22.0	6.0	-	5.5
<u>1k</u>	(CH	2 ⁾ 3	Et	A	2	35.5	d	-	~ 0
<u>1k</u>	(CH	2 ⁾ 3	Et	В	1	24.0	đ	-	~0

a) A solution of $\underline{1}$ and LiAlH_4 in ether was stirred for 2 h at room temperature and then refluxed for 0.5 h. The reaction mixture was treated with conc. HCl, then diluted with water and extracted with ether. b) A solution of $\underline{1}$ and NaBH_4 in MeOH was stirred for 2-24 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was treated with conc. HCl, then diluted with water and extracted with dichloromethane. c) Yields determined by G.L.C.. d) Alkane thiol could not be detected.

allylic alcohol (\underline{X}) , which is formed by the selective 1,2-reduction. An attempt to isolate β -alkylthio allylic alcohol intermediate (\underline{X}) was unsuccessful since the intermediate (\underline{X}) was sensitive to moisture or acid. The overall transformation of β -alkylthio α,β -unsaturated ketone $(\underline{1})$ to α,β -unsaturated ketone $(\underline{2})$ is outlined in Scheme 1.

$$R^{1} \xrightarrow{\text{C}} \text{CH} = \text{C}(R^{2}) \text{ SR}^{3} \xrightarrow{\text{reducing reagent}} R^{1} \xrightarrow{\text{CH}} \text{-CH} = \text{C}(R^{2}) \text{ SR}^{3} \xrightarrow{\text{H}^{+}}$$

$$\frac{1}{\text{CH}^{-}} \text{CH} = \text{C}(R^{2}) \text{ SR}^{3} \xrightarrow{\text{H}^{-}} R^{1} \xrightarrow{\text{CH}^{-}} \text{CH} = \text{C}(R^{2}) \text{ SR}^{3} \xrightarrow{\text{H}^{3}} R^{1} \xrightarrow{\text{CH}^{-}} \text{CH} = \text{CH}^{-}} R^{2} \xrightarrow{\text{C}^{+}} R^{1} \xrightarrow{\text{C}^{+}} R^{2} \xrightarrow{\text{C}^{+}} R^{1} \xrightarrow{\text{C}^{+}} R^{2} \xrightarrow{\text$$

References

- E.g., (a) L.A. Paquette, "Principle of Modern Heterocyclic Chemistry", W.A. Benjamin, Inc., New York, 1968, p.187. (b) R.M. Acheson, "An introduction to the Chemistry of Heterocyclic Compounds". 3rd Ed., John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1976, p.270.
- 2) J.C. Martin, K.R. Barton, P.G. Gott, and R.H. Meen, J. Org. Chem., 31, 943 (1966).
- 3) C. Kashima and Y. Yamamoto, Chem. Lett., 1978, 1285.
- 4) (a) M. Stiles and A.L. Longroy, J. Org. Chem., 32, 1095 (1967).
 - (b) H. Born, R. Pappo, and J. Szmuszkovicz, J. Chem. Soc., 1953, 1779.
 - (c) J-C. Richer and C. Lamarre, Canad. J. Chem., 45, 1581 (1967).
- 5) Satisfactory elemental analyses were obtained on all compounds.

(Received January 30, 1979)